Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -----

Name of the district: PU

PUDUCHERRY

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	M. VAITHIYANATHA	N		
		No.48, North Street, Thondamanatham, Puducherry - 605 502.			
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	ALL INDIA NR CONGRESS			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	03- Ossudu Assembly Constituency			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	E. THEEPPAINTHAN			
5.	Date of declaration of result	19.05.2016			
6.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18.06.2016			
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	14.0 6 2016			
8. (a)	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Yes	A1.		
6 b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects		
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	No defects noticed			
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not filed	No defects noticed			
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with bank register and cash register not duly signed by the candidate	No defects noticed			

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure not submitted/not signed by the candidate or his election agent				No defects noticed		
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of Bank account for election expenses not submitted				No defects noticed		
(vi)	All receipts for election expenses not deposited in the aforesa bank a/c and all payments except petty expenses not made cheque.						
9.	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mer <i>Part-II</i> of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.				Rs.3,44,827/-		
10(a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No) Yes			-			
(b)	If No, then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated / not mentioned at all by the candidate		Does not arise				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention ar per the S Observe Register/fo evider	hadow ation older of	As per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate
•							
						, a	
			DOES NOT	ARISE			
	Total			8 7			

Vaithiyana storm

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
11. (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period	YES		
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If Yes, mention the discrepancy?	One suspected paid news pertaining to Thiru. Vaithiyanathan, a candidate for Ossudu constituency contesting All India NR Congress party has been published in Seithi Oli, weekly tabloid. Tariff for sucl paid news arrived at Rs.11040/-(Notional) w.r.t tariff communicated by the DEO and included in Shadow Observation Register maintained by the accounting team. This has been brought to the expenditure agent of the candidate. He has accepted to include the expenditure Rs.11040/- in the EER. The same has been verified and the amount of Rs.11040/- is found reflected in the Election Expenditure Register maintained by the candidate (Page no.18).		
(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the RO. ? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.	Notice for the above discrepancy has been issued. The nature of discrepancy is detailed in the above coloumn.		
(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	NO, He has not given reply to the Notice but included the amount in the EER.		
12.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No)	YES		
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	NIL		
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the party.	Rs. 5000/- Thirl Janasthanan		
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	NO		
	SEAL: Date: 16.6.16	Grander.		
		(P.JAWAHAR, I.A.S.) DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER (P. JAWAHAR, I.A.S.,)		

(P. JAWAHAR, I.A.S.,)
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER.

Note ar item No. 10 (b):

- 1.Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-à-vis the Shadow Observation Register.
- 2. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

~ m1 -

Phisod 2016

Forwarded t the Elecotion Commission of India by the CEO - MATESH G J

Signature of the Expenditure Observer lia by the CEO - MAHESH G JIWADE, RS. EXPENDITURE OBSERVER

Date:

Date:

27/06/2016

Signate of the Chief Electoral Officer **

(Dr.V.CANDAVELOU, IAS.)
CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER
ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.