

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -----

03/10

Annexure- 21

Name of the district: PUDUCHERRY


CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	P. KARTHIKEYAN Old No.9, New No.3, Mettu Street, Karasur village, Kataperikuppam post, Puducherry - 605 502.	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	03- Ossudu Assembly Constituency	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	E. THEEPPAINTHAN	
5.	Date of declaration of result	19.05.2016	
6.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18.06.2016	
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	14.06.2016	
8. (a)	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Yes	
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	No defects noticed	
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not filed	No defects noticed	
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with bank register and cash register not duly signed by the candidate	No defects noticed	

S.N. o.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO				
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure not submitted/not signed by the candidate or his election agent	No defects noticed				
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of Bank account for election expenses not submitted	No defects noticed				
(vi)	All receipts for election expenses not deposited in the aforesaid bank a/c and all payments except petty expenses not made by cheque.	Cash received from individuals on various 4 days amounting to Rs.85500/- has not been deposited in the Bank Account maintained for Election. The cash so received have been used for meeting petty expenses. The details of cash payment received from individuals are : Rs.20,000/- on 03.05.16 from Thiru.Kanniyappan, Rs.20,000/- on 03.05.16 from Thiru.Vikkesh, Rs.20,000/- on 05.05.16 from Thiru.Bharathi Mohan, Rs.15,000/- on 08.05.16 from Thiru.Murugan, Rs.10500/- on 10.05.16 from Thiru.Udayasakar INFERENCE: Cash received on various days are not in excess of Rs.20000/-. Cash received from the party has been shown in the EER.				
9.	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in <i>Part-II</i> of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.	Rs.5,66,350/-				
10(a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)	Yes				
(b)	If No, then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated / not mentioned at all by the candidate	Does not arise				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	As per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate
DOES NOT ARISE						
	Total					

1 Karthikeyan

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
11. (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period	YES
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If Yes, mention the discrepancy?	Discrepancies relating to expenditure on account of vehicles used for election campaign from 01.05.16 to 05.05.16, expenditure incurred on public meeting held on 03.05.16 at Koodapakkam, Rent for election office and one vehicle PY 01 AJ 0172 involved in campaign together with printing of one pamphlets (5000 nos) that has been recorded in the SOR but not accounted in the EER has been brought to be notice of the Expenditure agent of the candidate on the first day of inspection of Register of Election Expenditure by the Election Expenditure Observer and withdrawal of Rs.200000/- from Bank account has not been shown in cash register of the EER. He has accepted the discrepancy and assured to include the amount of Rs.128063/- (approx) in the EER. The same has been verified in the second inspection and the amount is found reflected in the Election Expenditure Register maintained by the candidate.
(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the RO. ? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.	Notice for the above discrepancy has not been issued whereas the same has been reconcilled orally on the day of inspection and it is ensured that the expenditure has been included in the EER.
(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	DOES NOT ARISE
12.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No)	YES
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	NIL
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the party.	Rs.85500/- (details: Rs.20,000/- on 03.05.16 from Thiru.Kanniyappan, Rs.20,000/- on 03.05.16 from Thiru.Vikkesh, Rs.20,000/- on 05.05.16 from Thiru.Bharathi Mohan, Rs.15,000/- on 08.05.16 from Thiru.Murugan, Rs.10500/- on 10.05.16 from Thiru.Udayasakar).
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	NO
	SEAL: Date: 16.6.16	 (P.JAWAHAR, I.A.S.) DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER





(P. JAWAHAR, I.A.S.,)
DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER.

Note for item No. 10 (b):

1. Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-à-vis the Shadow Observation Register.

2. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

<p style="text-align: center;">- nil -</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> 16/06/2016</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	
<p>Date: _____ Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>	
<p>Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the CEO MAHESH G JIWADE, IRS., EXPENDITURE OBSERVER</p>	
<p>Date: 27/06/2016</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Signature of the Chief Electoral Officer **</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (DR. V. CANDAVELOU, I.A.S.) CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT PUDUCHERRY</p>

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.