CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE D.E.O ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the D.E.C		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	S.P. Sivakumar, No.68, Bharathidasan Street, Muthialpet, Puducherry – 605 003		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13- Muthialpet (Gen)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Vaiyapuri Manikandan		
5.	Date of declaration of result	19.5.2016		
6.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18.6.2016		
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	14.6.2016		
8. (a)	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Yes		
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the D.E.O	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects	
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	Signed		
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not iled	Filed		
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with bank register and cash register not duly signed by the candidate	Signed		
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure not submitted/not signed by the candidate or his election agent			
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of Bank account for election expenses not submitted	Submitted		

(vi)	All_receipts_fo	or_election_	expenses not dep	osited-in					
	the aforesaid be expenses not n	oank a/c and	d all payments exc	cept petty		Yes			
9.	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in <i>Part-II</i> of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.					Rs.3,57,333/-			
10(a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)								
(b)									
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Men amou per Shad Observ Registe	int as the dow vation	As per th account submitted the candida	by	Amount under stated by the Candidate	
1.				3					
2.					/84			7 - 2	
3.									
		T . 1			·				
11 /)	D'14	Total	1. 5						
11. (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period			Yes					
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer? If Yes, mention the discrepancy?			-No-					

(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy	
	in expenditure given to the candidate by the RO.? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.	
(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	
12.	Whether the D.E.O agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No) (Should be similar to point no. 8 of Summary Report	-Yes-
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	Rs.13,00,000/- from DMK Party and included
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the party.	_ /
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	-Nil-
	SEAL:	Signature
		Gundan.
	Date: 16.6.16	(Name of the ANTAPIAR, I.A.S.,)

Note for item No. 10 (b):

- 19. Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-à-vis the Shadow Observation Register.
- 20. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure



Date: 12 6 16

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the CEO -

Date: 27 % (G. CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER CHIEF ELECTORAL

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the D.E.O's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.