PROFORMA (TO BE SUBMITTED SEPARATELY FOR EACH CANDIDATE)



(In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the Candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the Registers and Evidences collected, should be sent along with this Report)

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE RO/DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY					
Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the RO/DEO			
01	Name and address of the Candidate	Thiru DEVARAJAN P.T			
02	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATHIYA JANATA PARTY			
03	No. and Name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	29/MAHE ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCY			
04	Name of the elected candidate	Dr. V. RAMAC	CHANDRAN		
05	Date of declaration of result	19.05.2016			
06	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	18.06.2016			
07	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	15.06.2016			
08 (a)	Whether account lodged by the Candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	YES	2		
08 (b)	Defects noticed in the format by the R.O.	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects		
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and Schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed	х	Nil		
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not filed	х	Nil		
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with Bank Register and Cash Register not duly signed by the Candidate	X	Nil		

Sl.No.	Description			To be filled up by the RO/DEO		
Sl.No.	Description			х		
(iv)	Vouchers in re not submitted election agen	d/not sign	x			
(v)	Self certified for election ex		Х			
(vi)	All receipts fo aforesaid ban expenses not	k a/c and	х			
09	Grand Total or as mentioned by the candida	in Part-II	₹ 2,89,021/-			
10(a)	Whether the i Candidate cor Shadow Obser (Yes or No.)	respond v	YES			
(b)	If No, then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated / not mentioned at all by the candidate.				N.A	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/Folder of Evidence	As per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount under stated by the Candidate
	2					
	- NOT APPLICABLE -					
Total						

SI.No.	Description	To be filled up by the RO/DEO
11 (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period	YES
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the Candidate at the time of inspection of Register by the Observer. If yes, mention the discrepancy?	NO
(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the R.O.? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.	N.A
(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).	N.A
12	Whether the R.O. agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the Candidate (Yes or No.) (Should be similar to point no.8 of Summary Report of R.O.	YES
13	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.	₹ 5,00,000/-
14	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person /entity. Also mention name of the party.	₹ 5,000/- from Thiru Gopalakrishnan. C, 11B, Golden Oak Apartments, Kallai Road, Chalappuram, Kozhikode.
15	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.	No

Seal:

Date: 16.6.16

Signature

(Name of the DEO) RICT ELECTION OFFICER.

Note for item No.10(b)

- 1. Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-à-vis the Shadow Observation Register.
- 2. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure.

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 16,06,2016

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the C.E.O.

Date 27/6/16

Signature of the Chieftlewood TOAVELOU, I.A.S.

ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT
PUDUCHERRY

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
- ** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.